Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,926	KIRA, MASATAKA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ERIC RUSH	2624	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>			
(1) ERIC RUSH.	(3)		
(2) Mr. Steven D. Jinks (Reg. No. 62,760).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 March 2011	Time: <u>10:00AM EST</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 101			
Claims discussed: 13 and 27			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Application No. 10/562,926

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and the Applicant's Representative discussed the patentability of claim 13 under 35 U.S.C. 101. The Examiner indicated that the claim appeared to be directed to nonfunctional descriptive material. The Applicant's Representative indicated that any amendment(s) would need to be approved by the Applicants. On 23 March 2011, the Applicant's Representative proposed Applicant approved claim amendments in order to clarify the claims and recite statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. The Applicant's Representative indicated that the amendments could be entered via an Examiner's Amendment.